

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/657,485	10/657,485 09/08/2003		Amrit P. Bindra	4873	2665	
23623	7590	08/31/2004		EXAMINER		
AMIN & T 1900 EAST		, LLP EET, NATIONAL C	GREEN, ANTHONY J			
24TH FLOO		221,101111010112	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CLEVELAN	ND, OH	44114	1755			
				DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					W				
		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summan	10/657	485	BINDRA, AMRIT P.					
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit					
		1 .	/ J. Green	1755					
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this commu Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	'ess				
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the perior of NO perior of Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F NILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ns of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi- iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (5) riod for reply is specified above, the maximum sign or reply within the set or extended period for reply y received by the Office later than three months atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the s tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com TD (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	munication.				
Status									
1) 🗌 R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on							
		2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims		,						
·									
	aim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	• •							
	aim(s) <u>9,10 and 13-15</u> is/are allow		onsideration.	·					
	aim(s) <u><i>3,10 and 13-13</i> is/are allow</u> aim(s) <u>1-8,11,12 and 16-27</u> is/are								
	aim(s) is/are objected to.	rejected.		•					
	aim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election	requirement						
		and and or orodion	roquiroment.						
Application	Papers		•						
· ·	e specification is objected to by th								
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:								
	plicant may not request that any object								
	placement drawing sheet(s) including								
11)∟ The	e oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	·152.				
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)□ A 1.[2.[3.[Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Application ents have been receive ale 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age				
Attachment(s)			_						
	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ⁻	TO 048)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)					
3) Information	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I	PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		2)				
	s)/Mail Date <u>09/08/03</u> .	,	6) Other:		•				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 3-8, 11-12 and 16-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 3 and 4 the phrases "major amount" and "minor amount" are vague and indefinite as "major" and "minor" are relative terms.

In claims 11 and 12 the phrase "minor amount" is vague and indefinite as "minor" is a relative term.

In claims 16 and 18-27 the phrases "major amount" and "minor amount" are vague and indefinite as "major" and "minor" are relative terms.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the compound known as CAS Registry Database compound #250639-69-1.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,485

Art Unit: 1755

The database teaches a compound having the cited formula. While it doesn't recite the x-ray diffraction pattern, it is believed that the claimed x-ray diffraction pattern is inherent in the compound as the formula is the same.

5. Claims 1-2 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by FDA Docket No. 99F-2080 (Engelhard Corp.; Filing of Food Additive Petition) found in the Federal Registry Volume 64, No. 128, page 36361 (July 6, 1999)

The reference teaches a solution of 1-napthalenesulfonic acid, 2-[2(2-hydroxy-6-sulfo-1-naphthalenyl)azo]-strontium salt (1:1) and 2-naphthalenesulfonic acid, 5-[4-chloro-5-ethyl-2-sulfophenyl)azo]-6-hydroxy-strontium salt (1:1) also known as C.I. Pigment Red 277 which is used as a colorant for polymers intended for use in contact with food. While the reference does not recite the x-ray diffraction of the 1-napthalenesulfonic acid, 2-[2(2-hydroxy-6-sulfo-1-naphthalenyl)azo]-strontium salt (1:1) compound since this compound possesses the same formula as that instantly claimed it is believed that the x-ray diffraction pattern of the compound would inherently be the same. With respect to claim 17 since some of the plastic materials recited as known to be used with food, this claim is anticipated by the reference.

6. Claims 1-2 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the US Food and Drug Administration article entitled "Inventory of Effective Premarket Notifications for Food Contact Substances".

The reference teaches a solution of 1-napthalenesulfonic acid, 2-[2(2-hydroxy-6-sulfo-1-naphthalenyl)azo]-strontium salt (1:1) and 2-naphthalenesulfonic acid, 5-[4-chloro-5-ethyl-2-sulfophenyl)azo]-6-hydroxy-strontium salt (1:1) also known as C.I. Pigment Red 277 which is used as a colorant for polymers intended for use in contact with food see FCN No. 23. While the reference does not recite the x-ray diffraction of the 1-napthalenesulfonic acid, 2-[2(2-hydroxy-6-sulfo-1-naphthalenyl)azo]-strontium salt (1:1) compound since this compound possesses the same formula as that instantly claimed it is believed that the x-ray diffraction pattern of the compound would inherently be the same. With respect to claim 17 since some of the plastic materials recited as known to be used with food, this claim is anticipated by the reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 20, 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over compound known as CAS Registry Database compound #250639-69-1 in view of FDA Docket No. 99F-2080 (Engelhard Corp.; Filing of Food Additive Petition) found in the Federal Registry Volume 64, No. 128, page 36361 (July 6, 1999) and the US Food and Drug Administration article entitled "Inventory of Effective Premarket Notifications for Food Contact Substances".

Application/Control Number: 10/657,485

Art Unit: 1755

The references were discussed above.

While the primary reference does not teach that it is known as a pigment this fact is known from the 2 secondary references which teach that it is known as a colorant for polymers for use in contact with food. Accordingly since it is known to use compound #250639-69-1 as a pigment it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in the absence of evidence to the contrary to use it as a pigment in materials other than polymers for use in contact with food without producing any unexpected results as it is well known in the art to add various pigments to coating compositions, inks, and electrostatic toners in order to color them.

9. Claims 20, 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over compound known as FDA Docket No. 99F-2080 (Engelhard Corp.; Filing of Food Additive Petition) found in the Federal Registry Volume 64, No. 128, page 36361 (July 6, 1999) and US Food and Drug Administration article entitled "Inventory of Effective Premarket Notifications for Food Contact Substances.

The references were discussed above.

While the references do not teach that the pigments are used to pigment materials other than polymer for use in contact with food, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in the absence of evidence to the contrary to use it as a pigment in materials other than polymers for use in contact with food without producing any unexpected results as it is well known in the art to add various pigments to coating compositions, inks, and electrostatic toners in order to color them.

Art Unit: 1755

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 9-10 and 13-15 are allowable over the prior art of record as the prior art fails to teach and/or fairly suggest the instant process.
- 11. Claims 4-8, 11-12, 18-19, 21-22, 24-25 and 27 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 12. Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Information Disclosure Statement

13. The remaining references cited by applicant have been considered however they are not seen to teach and/or fairly suggest the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Green whose telephone number is 571-272-1367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony J/Green Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

ajg August 27, 2004